SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S196931 A133276 First Appellate District, Div. 2

HALL (ANTHONY) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S197053 G045869 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

CURRIE INVESTMENTS v.

S.C. (WINDSTONE

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,

INC.)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S105876

PEOPLE v. SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lisa R. Short's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 5, 2011. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S158512

THORNTON (MARK SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan Garvey's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S190005

HAIDL (GREGORY SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 6, 2011.

S065233

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)

Order filed

The order filed on October 3, 2011, is amended to read as follows:

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's reply brief is granted until December 1, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S196852

RADANOVICH (GEORGE) v. BOWEN (DEBRA)/(CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION)

Application denied

George Radanovich, Charles Patrick, Gwen Patrick, Omar Navarro, and Trung Phan's application for permission to file amended petition for extraordinary relief in the form of mandamus or prohibition emergency stay request, is hereby denied.